PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416							
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)							
PCT/RU2004/000260	01.07.2004	14.07.2003							
		14.07.2003							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC									
A61K38/43, 38/46, A61P31/00, A61P3/10, 9/10									
Applicant	<u> </u>								
GENKIN, Dmitry Dmitrievich									
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 									
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	sheets, inclu	ding this cover sheet.							
3. This report is also accompanied by A									
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:							
sheets of the descrip	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative								
sheets which supers	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental								
	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and nu	mber of electronic carrier(s))							
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).									
4. This report contains indications relati	ing to the following items:								
Box No. I Basis of the	e report								
Box No. II Priority									
Box No. III Non-establ	ishment of opinion with regard to novelty, in	ventive step and industrial applicability							
Box No. IV Lack of un	ity of invention								
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
Box No. VI Certain do	cuments cited								
Box No. VII Certain def									
Box No. VIII Certain obs	servations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	of this report							
	Sale of completion								
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/RU	Authorized officer								
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.								

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/RU2004/000260

Box	No. I	I	Basis of the report
1.	indicate	ed unde	o the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise er this item.
	T w	his rep hich is	oort is based on translations from the original language into the following language 's the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
		in:	ternational search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))
	Ļ	= :	ublication of the international application (Rule 12.4)
	L		nternational preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	receivi	ing Offi port): he inte	to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the fice in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to entational application as originally filed/furnished cription:
		pages	as originally filed/furnished
	-	pages*	
		pages*	d bushin Authority on
		the clai	as originally filed/furnished
	1	nos.	1.1 (c. al., 21) and an Article 10
		nos.*	
		nos.*	received by this Authority on
		nos.*	received by this Authority on
	Ш	the dra	awings:
		sheets	as originally filed/furnished
1		sheets	received by this Authority on
l		sheets	received by this Authority on
		a sequ	nence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.		The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
			the description, pages
			the claims, nos.
			the drawings, sheets/figs
1			the sequence listing (specify):
1			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4.		This	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
			the description, pages
			the claims, nos.
			the drawings, sheets/figs
			the sequence listing (specify):
		\Box	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
١.	: If it		upplies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/RU2004/000260

			PC1/R02004/0002	-
Box P			e 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; rting such statement	
1.	Statement	•		
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-4	YES
		Claims		_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-4	_ NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	CI :	1-4	YES
	madama approadant (==)	Claims Claims	1-4	_ NO
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule	70.7)		
	The e	examine	r's opinion has been established	
	taking i	nto acc	ount the applicant's reply	
	submitte	d on 15	.02.2005, and the following	
	document	s:		
	D1: 1	US 6 39	1 607 B1	
	D2:	US 6 03	3 846	
	D3:	SERGEEV	A L.M. Kliniko-laboratornaya otsenka	
Ì	1	mukolit	icheskogo effekta pulmozima u	
		bolnykh	mukovistsidozom, PhD dissertation	
		in medi	cine, Ekaterinburg, 1999	
	D4:	GANNUSH	KINA I.V. ET AL. Uroven DNK v	
1		plazme	krovi bolnykh s ateroskleroticheskim	
		porazhe	niem magistralnykh arterii golovy i	
		bokovym	amiotroficheskim sklerozom.	
		Bullete	n eksperimentalnoi biologii i	
		medisti	ny, Meditsina, 1997, № 12, pages	
		610-612		
ł	D5:	ZHONG S	. ET AL., J. Clin. Pathol. 2000 Jun;	
		53(6):	466-9, abstract	
	D6:		ET AL., Liver Transpl. Surg., 1996	
			5): 391-4, abstract	
	D1 c	disclose	es a method of treating infectious	

101/102001/00

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

diseases caused by bacteria, fungi or parasites, which includes a locally administered agent destroying extracellular DNA, namely a DNase enzyme.

Furthermore, administration of DNase into the blood circulatory system is known from D1, but for treatment of non-infectious diseases, accompanied by qualitative and quantitative change to blood extracellular DNA, in which blood extracellular DNA is part of immune complexes (IC). examiner cannot agree with the applicant's arguments that D1 does not describe destruction of blood extracellular DNA as such, and relates only to destruction thereof as part of immune complexes, as the application point of DNase is by definition DNA. Therefore, although in D1 DNA is part of IC, it circulates in the blood and by definition is destroyed by DNase administered into the circulation. Furthermore, D1 shows the need to administer DNase in doses and regimes ensuring a high level of DNA-hydrolytic activity of blood plasma, controlling changes of blood extracellular DNA by gel electrophoresis.

D2 discloses the pathogenic role of blood extracellular DNA in case of different infections, including bacterial infections, and in case of somatic diseases, which consists in impairment of blood circulation, immune and coagulating system functions, and accretion of DNA in various organs.

D3 describes treatment of mucoviscidosis, a somatic disease caused by mutations of somatic gene cells and accompanied by qualitative and

International application No.
PCT/RU2004/000260

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

quantitative change of extracellular DNA in phlegm, using administration of DNase, which destroys DNA when administered tracheobronchially. Furthermore, D3 shows the presence of changed blood extracellular DNA in case of mucoviscidosis.

D4-D6 respectively disclose qualitative and/or quantitative changes of blood extracellular DNA in case of atherosclerosis, sugar diabetes, and diseases connected with delayed type hypersensitivity reaction, in particular graft-versus-host reaction.

D1 is the prior art closest to the variant of the method for treating generalised infectious diseases specified in independent claim 1.

This variant differs from D1 in that in case of these diseases the agent destroying blood extracellular DNA is administered not locally but into the circulatory system. Therefore this method is novel.

However, such administration of this agent (DNase) for treatment of diseases accompanied by changes to blood extracellular DNA is known from D1, and the pathological role of blood extracellular DNA in case of the infections specified in the claim is known from D2.

Therefore, to a person skilled in the art it is obvious from D1-D2 to use an agent which destroys blood extracellular DNA (Dnase) by administration into the circulatory system for the treatment of said generalised infectious diseases, as changes of blood extracellular DNA being one of the

International application No.
PCT/RU2004/000260

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

pathogenetic factors of the disease, which requires treatment accordingly.

Therefore, claims 1-2 in respect of treatment of generalised infections caused by bacteria, fungi or protozoa by means of DNase, an agent which destroys blood extracellular DNA when administered into the circulatory system, does not meet the requirement of inventive step.

As regards treatment of atherosclerosis, sugar diabetes, allergic diseases connected to delayed type hypersensitivity reaction, D4-D6 describe changes to blood extracellular DNA in case of these diseases. Therefore, taking into account the pathogenetic role of blood extracellular DNA in case of various somatic diseases known from D2, it is obvious for a person skilled in the art to act on this pathogenetic factor for the treatment of said diseases.

Therefore the method according to claim 1 in this respect also does not meet the requirement of inventive step.

As regards the variant of the method according to claim 1 for treating diseases resulting from mutation of somatic cell genes, as the pathogenetic role of blood extracellular DNA in such diseases, in particular in mucoviscidosis, is known from D2-D3, and systemic administration into circulation of an agent for destroying blood extracellular DNA is known from D1, in this respect claim 1 does not meet the requirement of inventive step.

The examiner cannot agree with the applicant's

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/RU2004/000260

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

view that D1 does not contain selection criteria of effective doses and regimes of administration of DNase "in vivo", as D1 shows that selection of specific doses and regimes of administering DNase depends on the type of disease, the characteristics of the patient, etc., and are selected in such a way as to support high hydrolytic activity of the blood in order to destroy a specific quantity of DNA, which is determined by gel electrophoresis. Therefore, a person skilled in the art can select said regimes and doses by empirical means, i.e. such a selection is obvious to a person skilled in the art. Therefore, claims 2-4 do not meet the requirement of inventive step.

Claims 1-4 meet the requirement of industrial applicability.